

## BEFORE THE ARIZON ACCORPORATION COMMISSION

	DOCKETED
2	W LIAM A. MUNDELL Chairman DEC 2 8 2901
3	JIM IRVIN  Commissioner
4	MARC SPITZER Commissioner
5	Commissioner
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE NOTICE OF ) DOCKET NO. RT-00000H-97-0137
7	PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE ) ARIZONA UNIVERSAL SERVICE FUND ) DECISION NO. 64267
8	) ORDER
9	Open Meeting
10	December 18 and 19, 2001 Phoenix, Arizona
11	BY THE COMMISSION:
12	<u>FINDINGS OF FACT</u>
13	1. On September 22, 1989, the Commission established the Arizona Universal Service
14	Fund ("AUSF") by Decision No. 56639.
15	2. On February 11, 1997, the Commission entered into an agreement for professional
16	services with the National Exchange Carriers Association ("NECA") to administer the AUSF through
17	December 31, 1999.
18	3. On January 18, 2000, the Commission extended the agreement for professional services
19	with NECA to administer the AUSF for the period January 1, 2000 through December 31, 2002.
20	4. On April 2, 2001, the Commission contracted with Withum, Smith & Brown, a
21	professional corporation of certified public accountants and consultants, to perform a GAAP financial
22	statement audit of the AUSF as of December 31, 2000.
23	5. This amendment recommends approval by the Commission of the proposed year 2002
24	monthly surcharges to be assessed against all contributors to the AUSF.
25	6. Per Decision No. 56639, dated September 22, 1989, the AUSF was established to
26	maintain statewide average rates and the availability of basic telephone service to the greatest extent
27	reasonably possible.
28	

5

7

11

15

18

21

23

26

- 7. One half of the AUSF funding requirement is collected through a surcharge paid by providers of basic local exchange service, wireless scale, paging service, and other Commercial 3 Mobile Radio Service providers that interconnect with the public switched network. These entities 4 are known as Category One providers.
- 8. The second half of the AUSF funding requirement is collected from providers of 6 intrastate toll service. These entities are known as Category Two providers.
- Funding must be authorized by the Commission in a rate case in order for a utility to 9. 8 receive AUSF. To date, only Citizens Telecommunications Company of the White Mountains, Inc. ("Citizens") (formerly Contel of the West, Inc.) is receiving AUSF money in the amount of \$769,620 10 annually as per Decision No. 56657, dated October 10, 1989.
- Decision No. 64011, dated September 5, 2001, ordered a waiver of the Commission's 10. 12 AUSF rules, and authorized Midvale Telephone Exchange, Inc. ("Midvale") to receive \$71,651 per 13 year from the AUSF beginning with the commencement of service to Millsite and Silver Bell, 14 previously unserved areas.
- NECA has requested and received data from all Arizona telecommunications providers 11. 16 for use in calculating the surcharges that would be applied to all telecommunications providers for  $17||_{2002}$ .
- From this data NECA has calculated that the year 2002 monthly surcharge for Category 12. 19 One providers is \$0.009488 per access line and \$0.094877 per interconnecting trunk line. For Category Two providers the year 2002 monthly surcharge is 0.1867 percent of intrastate toll revenues.
- The collection of these amounts over the year 2002 will generate sufficient funds to 13. 22 cover the amount of AUSF distributions that Citizens and Midvale have been authorized to receive.
- Staff has reviewed the proposed year 2002 monthly surcharges, and finds them to be 14. <sup>24</sup> liust and reasonable and in the public interest. Therefore, Staff recommends approval of the year 2002 monthly AUSF surcharges for service provided on and subsequent to ...nuary 1, 2002.
- NECA issues monthly AUSE Statement of Fund Performance reports to the 15. 27 Commission. These reports indicate which telephoneunication carriers did not submit data or 28 contributions during the month.

8

12

13

15

16

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

16. As of September 30, 2001, there were 72 entities listed as delinquent or non-compliant contributors, including the Citizen's company currently receiving AUSF (39 of these entities are 3 delinquent in excess of 150 days). Of those 39 entities, 14 are included in a Complaint and Order to 4||Show Cause for tailure to file annual reports, Decision No. 63344, dated February 2, 2001, and 15 5 (with some duplicate entities from Decision No. 63344) are included in a Complaint and Order to 6||Show Cause for failure to file a 2000 annual report, Decision No. 64090, dated October 4, 2001.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- The Commission has the authority to authorize pursuant to A.R.S. 40-202, -203, -204, 1.  $9\|-250, -321, -322, -328, -329, -331, -332, -361, -365,$  and -367; the Arizona Constitution, Article 15, 10 Sections 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7 and 9; and the Arizona Universal Service Fund Rules, A.A.C. R14-2-1201 11 through R14-2-1217.
  - The Commission has jurisdiction over the subject matter. 2.
- 3. The 2002 surcharges for Category One and Category Two providers meet the 14 requirements of the AUSF rules, are just and reasonable, and in the public interest.

## **ORDER**

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the year 2002 monthly Arizona Universal Service Fund 17 surcharge for Category One providers is \$0.009488 per access line and \$0.094877 per interconnecting 18 ltrunk line.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the year 2002 monthly Arizona Universal Service Fund surcharge for Category Two providers is 0.1867 percent of intrastate toll revenue.

Decision No. 64267

25

26

27

28

Page 5 Docket No. RT-00000H-97-0137 1 SERVICE LIST FOR: Arizona Universal Service Fund DOCKET NO. RT-00000H-97-0137 <sup>3</sup>ERNEST G. JOHNSON 4 DIRECTCR UTILITIES DIVISION 5 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 WEST WASHINGTON 6 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007 CHRISTOPHER C. KEMPLEY 8 CHIEF COUNSEL LEGAL DIVISION 9 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 WEST WASHINGTON 10 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007 11 12 copies sent to the attached: 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

Decision No. 64267